Working Group on the Biology and Life History of Crabs (WGCRAB)

2013/MA2/SSGEF04 The **Working Group on the Biology and Life History of Crabs** (WGCRAB), chaired by AnnDorte Burmeister, Greenland, will work on ToRs and generate deliverables as listed in the Table below.

	MEETING DATES	VENUE	R EPORTING DETAILS	Comments (change in Chair, etc.)
Year 2014	22–24 April	Tromsø, Norway	Interim report by 1 August to SSGEPD	
Year 2015	2–5 November	Brest, France	Interim report by 1 December to SSGEPD	
Year 2016	31 October - 4 November	Aberdeen, UK	Final report by 15 December to SCICOM	

ToR descriptors

ToR	DESCRIPTION	BACKGROUND	SCIENCE PLAN TOPICS ADDRESSED	DURATION	Expected Deliverables
a	Compile data on landings, discards, effort and catch rates (CPUE) and provide standardised CPUE, size frequency and research survey data for the important crab and lobster (Homarus) fisheries in the ICES area, and Atlantic Canada and Greenland.	0	212.321	3 years	Landing, discard, effort and catch data on listed species, from each country. WG report chapter
b	Evaluate assessment of the status of crab and lobster (Homarus) stocks including use of indicators, empirical assessment, analytical assessment in relation to data sources and data quality, development and suitability of reference points for management.	Management of stocks in Europe is primarily by technical measures only and in most countries there are generally no management instruments to control fishing effort. Knowledge of the population dynamics of these species is still weak. These stocks may be at risk from over-fishing due to the lack of control of fishing effort, and hence an evaluation of the sustainability of these fisheries is necessary.	311, 334	3 years	Report on evaluation of alternative assessment methods.
с	WGCRAB wish to produce assessment of the main crab and lobster species in the ICES area in future.	WGCRAB aims to produce assessments on a manage- ment unit basis.		3 years	(Year 1) Report on data availability, management units, reference points and

	(Year 1 - 2014) Review prospects for future	Evaluate current assessment methods and identify reference points. Develop			assessment methods (Year 2) Report on
	assessmentincluding data availability, management units, and possible reference	assessment methods to identify position with respect to MSY proxies and harvest rules.			management options for crab fisheries
	points and assessment methods. Initiate preliminary assessment exercises.	It would be of great interest to make progress on assessment of stock status			(Year 3) Preliminary report on stock status and management plar for selected species
	(Year 2 - 2015) Review management measures applied in crab fisheries and	and further develop ideas on reference points.			for selected species
	future options. Continue exploratory assessments.	WGCRAB will discussions advantages and disadvantages of emphasis			
	(Year 3 – 2016) Preliminary assessments of stock status for relevant crab and lobster	advice on a management basis.			
	species according to MSFD D3	Secondly WGCRAB will include a workshop at the 2015 or 2016 meeting to look			
	WGCRAB will discuss with ACOM, SCICOM, SSGEPD the feasibility of including e	at prospects for future assessment and management.			
	assessment within its future ToRs.	Third step is to consult with ACOM regarding assessment from the WGCRAB.			
d	Review the impact of climate divers on important crab and lobster species within the ICES, Atlantic Canada and West Greenland, including	_	112, 113	3 years	Highlight important issues to be basis for research on effect of climate changes on important crab stocks
	increased ocean acidification;	Furthermore there is a growing concern in the WG about the consequences of			WG report chapter (2016)
		future climate change for important crab species in our region. Observed increases			
		in sea water temperatures have already entailed expanded distribution areas of some species in the			
		northeast Atlantic. However, a rise in the seawater pH would probably be the most			
		serious consequences of the climate change on crustaceans such as crabs.			
		These issues will be dealt with by the WGCRAB in			
		future.			

parameters;	information is therefore	important crab stocks
	required to provide	
	standardised indices and for	
	use in analytical	
	assessments. Crab stock	
	parameters may change due	
	to size selective and single	
	sex fisheries, through by-	
	catch in other fisheries or	
	through the impact of other	
	seabed uses, such as gravel	
	extraction. Since important	
	crab stocks in Europe are	
	managed without fishery	
	independent data it may be	
	an option to investigate any	
	useful stock parameter	
	indicators for assessment	
	purposes.	

Summary of the Work Plan

Year 1	Annual standard outputs for a, b. Continue analysis for ToR d, e. Tentative plan for ToR c.		
Year 2	Annual standard outputs for a, b. Continue analysis for ToR d, e. Complete evaluation of useful assessment methods to assess crab and lobster species in ICES areas. Complete request to ACOM and SCICOM (being both an assessment, advice and working group).		
Year 3	Annual standard outputs for a, b. Combine analysis, research and report ToR d and e.		

Supporting information

Priority	High. The fisheries for crabs and lobster are socio-economically important and trans- national in Europe and Canada with the demise of fin fisheries in some regions.		
	Management of stocks in Europe is primarily by technical measures only and in most countries there are generally no management instruments to control fishing effort. Knowledge of the population dynamics of these species is still weak. These stocks may be at risk from over-fishing due to the lack of control on fishing effort, and hence an evaluation of the sustainability of these fisheries is necessary. The activity of the Group is therefore considered to be of high priority in particular if it's activity can move towards resource assessment without losing biological inputs.		
Resource requirements	The research programmes which provide the main input to this group are already underway, and resources are already committed. The additional resource required to undertake additional activities in the framework of this group is negligible		
Participants	The Group is normally attended by some 10–15 members and guests.		
Secretariat facilities	None.		
Financial	No financial implications.		
Linkages to ACOM and groups under ACOM	There are no obvious direct linkages today, but if the EG will produce stock assessments in future WGCRAB will have linkages to several EGs under ACOM.		
Linkages to other committees c groups	The EG aims to be able to give advises on how to exploit important crab stocks in the ICES area and is therefore related to EGs such as WGCRAN and the ICES/NAFO NIPAG.		
Linkages to other organization			